Adjuvant Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab in Earl HER2-Positive Breast Cancer in the APHINITY HER2-Positive Breast Cancer in the APHINITY Trial: 6 Years' Follow-Up Martine Piccart, MD, PhD¹; Marion Procter, PhD²; Debora Fumagalli, MD, PhD³; Evandro de A Michael S. Ewer, MD, JD, PhD⁵; Eleonora Restuccia, MD⁶; Guy Jeruset Hervé Bonnefoi, MD¹¹; Ian Krop, MD, DH-D¹² Nicholas Wilders

Nicholas Wilcken, PhD^{16,17}; Michael Andersson, MD, DMSci^{19,18}; Young-Hyuck Im, MD, PhD¹⁹; Ling Ming Tseng, MD²⁰; Hans-Joachim Lueck, MD²¹; Marco Colleoni, MD²²; Estefania Monturus, PhD⁶; Mihaela Sicoe, MSc³; Sébastien Guillaume, MSc¹; José Bines, MD, PhD²³; Richard D. Gelber, PhD²⁴; Giuseppe Viale, MD²⁵; and Christoph Thomssen, MD²⁶ for the APHINITY Steering Committee and Investigators

bstra

PURPOSE APHINITY, at 45 months median follow-up, showed that pertuzumab added to adjuvant trastuzumab and chemotherapy significantly improved invasive disease-free survival (IDFS) (hazard ratio 0.81 [95% CI, 0.66 to 1.00], P = .045) for patients with early human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive breast cancer (BC), specifically those with node-positive or hormone receptor (HR)-negative disease. We now report the preplanned second interim overall survival (OS) and descriptive updated IDFS analysis with 74 months median follow-up.

METHODS After surgery and central HER2-positive confirmation, 4,805 patients with node-positive or high-risk node-negative BC were randomly assigned (1:1) to either 1-year pertuzumab or placebo added to standard adjuvant chemotherapy and 1-year trastuzumab.

RESULTS This interim OS analysis comparing pertuzumab versus placebo did not reach the P = .0012 level required for statistical significance (P = .17, hazard ratio 0.85). Six-year OS were 95% versus 94% with 125 deaths (5.2%) versus 147 (6.1%), respectively. IDFS analysis based on 508 events (intent-to-treat population) showed a hazard ratio of 0.76 (95% CI, 0.64 to 0.91) and 6-year IDFS of 91% and 88% for pertuzumab and placebo groups, respectively. The node-positive cohort continues to derive clear IDFS benefit from pertuzumab (hazard ratio 0.72 [95% Cl, 0.59 to 0.87]), 6-year IDFS being 88% and 83%, respectively. Benefit was not seen in the node-negative cohort. In a subset analysis, IDFS benefit from pertuzumab showed a hazard ratio of 0.73 (95% CI, 0.59 to 0.92) for HR-positive disease and a hazard ratio of 0.83 (95% CI, 0.63 to 1.10) for HR-negative disease. Primary cardiac events remain < 1% in both the treatment groups. No new safety signals were seen.

CONCLUSION This analysis confirms the IDFS benefit from adding pertuzumab to standard adjuvant therapy for patients with node-positive HER2-positive early BC. Longer follow-up is needed to fully assess OS benefit.

J Clin Oncol 39:1448-1457. © 2021 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

ASSOCIATED CONTENT **Data Supplement**

Protocol

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Accepted on December 24, 2020 and published at ascopubs.org/journal/ jco on February 4, 2021: DOI https://doi. org/10.1200/JC0.20. 01204

INTRODUCTION

The human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) is the second most important target for systemic breast cancer (BC) therapy after the estrogen receptor. HER2 overexpression is found in 15%-20% of patients with BC. Today, HER2-directed therapies are considered standard of care in the advanced- and early-disease settings.^{1–3} Trastuzumab when added to chemotherapy has shown improvement in overall survival (OS) in patients with advanced and early HER2-positive BC.4-7

Interest in dual HER2 blockade grew rapidly in view of the improvement in OS seen in the advanced disease and improvements in pathological complete response

rates in several neoadjuvant trials.8-14 Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab and taxane is now considered the standard first-line treatment for patients with advanced disease and as part of a neoadjuvant regimen for patients in high-risk subgroups.^{9,12}

In the adjuvant setting, the approval of pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab and chemotherapy was based on the primary analysis of APHINITY, which showed an improved invasive disease-free survival (IDFS) hazard ratio of 0.81 (95% CI, 0.66 to 1.00, P = .045; 0.9% difference in 3-year IDFS percent) in favor of the dual HER2 blockade strategy.¹⁵ Cardiac events were infrequent in both the treatment groups although diarrhea grade \geq 3 was more frequent with

Journal of Clinical Oncology[®]

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by Universite de Liege - on May 3, 2021 from 139.165.032.056 Copyright © 2021 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

CONTEXT

Key Objective

To provide updates on the efficacy and safety of adjuvant pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and chemotherapy after 6 years median follow-up.

Knowledge Generated

The second interim analysis of overall survival in APHINITY did not show statistically significant benefit but data are still immature at this time. Statistically significant improvement in invasive disease–free survival for patients with node-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)–positive early breast cancer was reinforced and cardiac safety was confirmed with longer follow-up after adjuvant dual anti-HER2 treatment.

Relevance

The longer-term magnitude of benefit of adding pertuzumab to standard adjuvant therapy may not depend upon hormone receptor status of the primary tumor. At this time, there is no evidence to justify the routine use of dual anti-HER2 treatment for patients with node-negative early breast cancer.

the pertuzumab group than with placebo. The benefit appeared more pronounced in patients at higher risk of relapse such as those with node-positive disease, with a hazard ratio of 0.77 (95% Cl, 0.62 to 0.96; 3-year IDFS 92% v 90%), and in those with hormone receptor (HR)– negative disease for whom the hazard ratio was 0.76 (95% Cl, 0.56 to 1.04; 3-year IDFS 93% v91%). These results led to regulatory approvals in several countries of pertuzumab for patients at high risk of recurrence.

A first preplanned interim analysis for OS was performed at the time of primary analysis (hazard ratio 0.89; 95% CI, 0.66 to 1.21, P = .47) with a median follow-up of 45 months. In this paper, we report the results of the second, preplanned, and time-driven interim analysis for OS as well as the updated preplanned descriptive IDFS and safety results in APHINITY with a median follow-up of 74 months.

METHODS

Study Design, Participants, Randomization, and Masking

APHINITY (BIG4-11; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01358877) is a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III, doubleblind, multicenter trial. The full details of the trial methods have been previously described.¹⁵ Briefly, 4,805 patients were recruited in 545 hospitals across 42 countries between November 2011 and August 2013 after giving informed consent. Eligible patients were randomly assigned after adequate excision of a histologically confirmed invasive HER2-positive BC (defined as a 3 + immunohistochemical score or amplification of the HER2 gene) to chemotherapy plus either 1 year of trastuzumab and placebo or 1 year of trastuzumab and pertuzumab.¹⁶ Patients were not eligible if they had received systemic neoadjuvant therapy.

A Web-based system was used to collect patient screening information and to randomly assign eligible patients in a 1:1 ratio to one of the two treatment groups. A permuted blocks randomization procedure was used. Stratification factors

included nodal status, adjuvant chemotherapy regimen, HR status, geographical region, and Protocol version (onlline only). Patients with high-risk node-negative tumors were initially eligible if they had tumors > 1 cm or between 0.5 and 1.0 cm and histological or nuclear grade 3 disease, HR-negative disease, or age < 35. There were 3,655 patients randomly assigned worldwide under this version of the Protocol. Under a Protocol amendment (Protocol B), patients with node-negative disease were excluded. Of note, eligibility criteria included a baseline left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of \geq 55%. Patients with preexisting cardiac conditions were excluded from the trial. Radiotherapy was given as per local or national guidelines after chemotherapy and concomitantly with anti-HER2 treatment. Patients with HR-positive tumors received at least 5 years of standard endocrine therapy per local guidelines.

Procedures

Trastuzumab was given with a loading dose of 8 mg/kg of body weight intravenously then at 6 mg/kg once every 3 weeks for 1 year (corresponding to 18 cycles). Patients assigned to the pertuzumab group received a loading fixed dose of 840 mg intravenously, then a fixed dose of 420 mg once every 3 weeks for 1 year.

Investigators were allowed to choose between two types of chemotherapy regimen, with anti-HER2 therapy started concomitantly with the first taxane administration, either a sequential anthracycline-taxane regimen or 3-weekly docetaxel plus carboplatin (ie, with no anthracycline) × six cycles (Data Supplement, online only).

Details on clinical follow-up have previously been reported; importantly, cardiac monitoring including measurement of LVEF by multi-gated acquisition scanning or echocardiography was performed every 3 months during treatment, every 6 months up to month 36, and yearly thereafter.

Investigators collected data about any BC recurrence, contralateral BC, and second primaries (nonbreast) in

addition to European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life C30 questionnaires. Any cardiac event had to be classified into a primary or a secondary event and was reviewed by a cardiac advisory board, as reported previously.¹² Patients are being followed annually for disease relapse and vital status until 10 years after random allocation of the last patient.

Outcomes

This analysis is a preplanned efficacy and safety analysis of APHINITY, based on a time-driven second interim OS analysis, and provides descriptive updates of IDFS from the intent-to-treat (ITT) population and in relevant subgroups as well as updates on cardiac safety.

Definitions of all end points were provided in the primary analysis paper. Of note, the primary end point IDFS used in APHINITY differs from the STEEP definition as it excludes second primary non-BCs as events. It includes ipsilateral invasive BC recurrence, locoregional invasive BC recurrence, contralateral invasive BC, distant recurrence, and death because of any cause.^{15,17}

Statistical Analysis

The first interim OS analysis took place at the time of primary IDFS analysis. Two subsequent time-driven interim analyses were planned with a final event-driven OS analysis planned after 640 deaths have occurred. Here, we report the second interim OS analysis, with a clinical cutoff date of June 19, 2019, 2.5 years after the primary analysis. The stratified log-rank test was used to compare OS percents between the two treatment groups; a two-sided P value < .0012 was required for statistical significance to control the overall alpha level at 0.05. The Kaplan-Meier approach was used to estimate 6-year percentages for each group. The stratified Cox proportional-hazards model was used to estimate hazard ratios and their 95% Cls. The strata for efficacy analysis are nodal status, Protocol version, central HR status, and adjuvant chemotherapy regimen. Region is not considered in the stratified log-rank test. We estimated the standard errors and 95% CIs for the Kaplan-Meier estimates according to the Greenwood formula. The analysis was based on the ITT population. Updated descriptive IDFS results were based on 508 events. Descriptive analyses of IDFS in the overall ITT population and in key demographic subgroups were preplanned to be performed at the time of the interim OS analyses.

Patients who received at least one dose of study treatment were included in the safety analysis, according to the treatment given. Primary cardiac events included heart failure or cardiac death; secondary cardiac events included asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic LVEF decrease.¹⁵

Role of the Funding Source

F. Hoffmann-La Roche/Genentech was the study sponsor. APHINITY was designed by the Breast International Group (BIG) in collaboration with the sponsor. Study conduct was overseen by the joint study management team (composed of representatives of the sponsor, BIG headquarters, and independent data management and statistical centers) and a cardiac advisory board under the auspices of BIG. The trial involved the collaboration of 23 BIG groups, all of which were represented in the Steering Committee of APHINITY, which also included patient advocates, joint study management team members, and the cardiac advisory board. The APHINITY Steering Committee had final responsibility for the content of the paper.

The database was maintained at the Institut Jules Bordet Clinical Trials Support Unit (Brussels, Belgium), and the statistical analyses were carried out by Frontier Science (Kincraig, UK; Boston, MA).

The sponsor provided study drug, site monitoring, and financial support.

RESULTS

A CONSORT diagram is shown in Figure 1, and the trial schema is presented in the Data Supplement. The ITT analysis comprises all randomly assigned patients except one who was excluded from the placebo group because she had falsified personal information.

Patient Demographics

As shown in Table 1, the two treatment groups were generally well-balanced. Further details on patient characteristics have been described previously.¹⁵ The study had 63% of patients with axillary node-positive disease, 36% had HR-negative tumors, and 78% received anthracyclinebased adjuvant chemotherapy.

With a median follow-up of 74 months (range 0-89), this interim analysis of single versus dual HER2 blockade is based on 508 IDFS events and 272 deaths (127 more IDFS events and 103 more deaths than at the primary analysis).

0S

Figure 2 shows OS in the ITT population. The two-sided *P* value of .0012 required for statistical significance at this second interim analysis of OS was not reached (hazard ratio 0.85 [95% CI, 0.67 to 1.07; *P* = .17]). Six-year OS percents were 95% and 94% and the number of deaths were 125 (5.2%) and 147 (6.1%) for the pertuzumab and placebo groups, respectively.

IDFS

IDFS in the ITT population is shown in Figure 3. Consistent with the primary analysis, the present results continue to show fewer IDFS events in the pertuzumab group compared with the placebo group (221 [9.2%] and 287 [11.9%], respectively) (hazard ratio 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.91). At 6 years from randomization, 91% of patients in the pertuzumab group and 88% of patients in the placebo



FIG 1. CONSORT diagram for the APHINITY trial. *One patient excluded from the ITT population because of her falsification of personal information. Study treatment includes chemotherapy, trastuzumab, and pertuzumab or placebo. See the Data Supplement for a complete APHINITY trial schema including treatment details. Type of anthracycline-containing chemotherapy is summarized in Table 1. ITT, intent-to-treat.

group remain event-free, corresponding to an absolute benefit of 2.8% (95% CI, 1.0 to 4.6).

The majority of first IDFS events were distant relapses, with fewer distant relapses in the pertuzumab group (141 [5.9%]) than in the placebo group (184 [7.7%]). The frequency of locoregional recurrence in the pertuzumab group was 1.2%, compared with 2.0% in the placebo group. No differences were seen in the occurrence of CNS metastases (2.0% in each group) and contralateral BC (close to 0.6% in each group) (Table 2). Distant recurrences with pertuzumab in the node-positive cohort occurred in 8.3% of patients, compared with 11.1% in the placebo group. The number of distant recurrences was low in the node-negative cohort (17 in both the groups) (Data Supplement).

IDFS by Subgroup

With 2.5 additional years of follow-up, a significant reduction in IDFS events continues to be seen in the node-positive cohort, with 173 events (11.5%) in the pertuzumab group versus 239 events (15.9%) in the placebo group. The hazard ratio was 0.72 (95% Cl, 0.59 to 0.87), and the 6-year IDFS was 88% in the pertuzumab group compared with 83% in the placebo group, corresponding to an absolute benefit of 4.5% (95% Cl, 1.9 to 7.1) (Fig 4A). There was, however, no apparent benefit from the addition of pertuzumab in the node-negative cohort, with 95% of patients in both the groups event-free at 6 years after randomization (Fig 4B).

The HR-positive cohort, with additional follow-up (Fig 4D), now shows divergence of the curves and evidence of benefit

TABLE 1. Demographic and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	Pertuzumab (n = $2,400$)	Placebo (n = 2,404)
Nodal status		
0 positive and tumor $\leq 1 \text{ cm}^{a}$	90 (3.8%)	84 (3.5%)
0 positive nodes and tumor $> 1 \text{ cm}^{a}$	807 (33.6%)	818 (34.0%)
1-3 positive nodes	907 (37.8%)	900 (37.4%)
\geq 4 positive nodes	596 (24.8%)	602 (25.0%)
Adjuvant chemotherapy regimen ^b		
Anthracycline-containing regimen	1865 (77.7%)	1877 (78.1%)
Epirubicin \rightarrow docetaxel	1,054 (43.9%)	1,026 (42.7%)
Doxorubicin \rightarrow docetaxel	245 (10.2%)	258 (10.7%)
Epirubicin \rightarrow paclitaxel	276 (11.5%)	272 (11.3%)
Doxorubicin → paclitaxel	290 (12.1%)	321 (13.4%)
Non-anthracycline-containing regimen	535 (22.3%)	527 (21.9%)
Hormone receptor status ^c		
Negative	864 (36.0%)	858 (35.7%)
Positive	1,536 (64.0%)	1,546 (64.3%)
Protocol version ^a		
Protocol A	1828 (76.2%)	1827 (76.0%)
Protocol B	572 (23.8%)	577 (24.0%)
Age (years)		
< 40	326 (13.6%)	327 (13.6%)
40-64	1759 (73.3%)	1784 (74.2%)
≥ 65	315 (13.1%)	293 (12.2%)
Pathological tumor size, No. of tumors (%) ^d	n = 2,400	n = 2,405
0 to < 2 cm	978 (40.8%)	948 (39.4%)
2-5 cm	1,275 (53.1%)	1,283 (53.3%)
\geq 5 cm	147 (6.1%)	174 (7.2%)

^aUnder the original Protocol (Protocol A), patients with node-negative tumors were initially eligible for participation in the trial if at least one of the following high-risk features was present: histologic or nuclear grade 3, negativity for estrogen and progesterone receptors, or age <35 years. Under a Protocol amendment (Protocol B), patients with node-negative disease were no longer eligible for enrollment.

^bThe chemotherapy regimen that was planned at the time of randomization is shown; the regimen that patients received may have differed.

^cHormone receptor status was based on the test results determined by a central laboratory, which repeated the testing that was performed locally at each participating center. Negative denotes estrogen receptor–negative and progesterone receptor–negative; positive denotes estrogen receptor–positive, progesterone receptor–positive, or both.

^dPatients with bilateral tumors have pathological characteristics reported for both tumors; therefore, pathological tumor characteristics are summarized at the tumor level. There were seven patients with bilateral cancer where a pathological tumor size for a left-side tumor and for a right-side tumor was recorded. There were 4,805 tumors summarized among 4,798 patients. There were six patients with no known pathological tumor size.

from pertuzumab with 131 (8.5%) IDFS events compared with 181 (11.7%) in the placebo group and a hazard ratio of 0.73 (95% CI, 0.59 to 0.92). At 6 years from randomization, IDFS were 91% and 88%, respectively, with an absolute benefit of 3.0% (95% CI, 0.8 to 5.2). The HR-negative cohort shows numerically fewer IDFS events in the pertuzumab group as compared with the placebo group (90 [10.4%] v 106 [12.4%], hazard ratio 0.83, 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.10).

IDFS results at the primary and updated analysis are summarized in the Data Supplement. As in the primary analysis, exploratory tests for interaction across all clinically relevant subgroups have been performed for this updated analysis, all of which were not statistically significant (Data Supplement).

Safety

Table 3 shows an update of cardiac safety. With 2.5 additional years of follow-up, there has been one further primary cardiac event (heart failure) in the pertuzumab group and one further secondary cardiac event in each group. Overall, the incidence of primary cardiac events remains low at 0.8% in the pertuzumab group and 0.3% in the placebo group. The incidence of secondary cardiac events was 2.7% in the pertuzumab group and 2.8% in the



FIG 2. Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival (intent-to-treat population). FU, follow-up; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival.

placebo group. There were two fatal adverse events related to a cardiac disorder in the pertuzumab group and four in the placebo group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This second time-driven preplanned analysis of APHINITY has confirmed improved IDFS with the addition of pertuzumab to chemotherapy plus trastuzumab for patients with node-positive disease irrespective of HR status. The OS analysis does not demonstrate significant impact of pertuzumab on mortality. OS data are immature at this point. Only 42.5% of the 640 deaths required for the final analysis have occurred. Therefore, patient follow-up is essential. Of note, the 6-year OS percents are close to 95% for patients on both the treatment groups although APHINITY has a relatively high-risk population. These results further reinforce the notion that the combination of HER2-directed therapy and standard chemotherapy leads to excellent outcomes in most patients with HER2-positive early BC.



FIG 3. Kaplan-Meier plot of IDFS (intent-to-treat population). FU, follow-up; HR, hazard ratio; IDFS, invasive disease–free survival.

IDFS remains a valuable end point for adjuvant trials.^{18,19} With 2.5 years of additional follow-up, the evidence supporting IDFS benefit at 74 months are strengthened in comparison with the 45-month results and remain driven by the node-positive cohort. In these patients with high-risk disease, pertuzumab reduces the relative hazard of an IDFS event by 28% and improves 6-year IDFS percent by an estimated 4.5% (95% CI, 1.9 to 7.1). A reduction in distant recurrences was seen in the node-positive cohort (124 [8.3%] v 167 [11.1%]).

Updated results from this analysis strengthen the observation that patients with node-negative, HER2-positive early BC show excellent IDFS results up to 6 years from diagnosis when treated with standard adjuvant therapy without the addition of pertuzumab. As shown in the forest plot in the Data Supplement, the CI for this subgroup is wide and includes the hazard ratio estimate for the node-positive cohort. At this time, although a benefit for adding pertuzumab for patients with node-positive disease is clear, there is no evidence to justify the routine use of dual anti-HER2 treatment for patients with node-negative early BC. APHINITY probably signals the end of treatment escalation for the node-negative subgroup and suggests that strategies to reduce the burden of chemotherapy experienced by these patients should be evaluated.^{20,21}

The magnitude of benefit of pertuzumab may not depend on HR status. We have learned through the long-term follow-up of women with early BC that the HR-negative population is at particularly high risk of relapse in the first 3 years, whereas events accumulate more slowly but continually in the HR-positive cohort.^{22,23} In APHINITY, the absolute benefit of pertuzumab for IDFS at 6 years from randomization is 2.5% (95% CI, -0.7 to 5.6) for the HRnegative cohort and 3.0% (95% CI, 0.8 to 5.2) for the HRpositive cohort. This is consistent with long-term benefits of adjuvant trastuzumab, independent of HR status.⁷

Because no cardiac safety issues have emerged with additional follow-up, the benefit-versus-harm ratio is enhanced with only one further primary cardiac event in the pertuzumab group reported since the primary analysis.

Patient-reported outcomes in APHINITY have demonstrated that other side effects seen in $\geq 1\%$ of patients are generally mild and do not impact global quality of life or social functioning.²⁴ The 6% increase in the risk of grade 3 or more diarrhea with pertuzumab reported in the primary analysis (from 4% in the placebo arm v 10% in the pertuzumab arm) was indeed clinically relevant, but this side effect occurred mostly while patients were on chemotherapy, particularly with docetaxel, and rapidly improved when anti-HER2 therapies were given alone.²⁴

There is evidence of a shift from adjuvant to neoadjuvant therapy in clinical practice as a result of personalized medicine, reducing the risk of both overtreatment and undertreatment at the individual patient level.²⁵ The

Piccart et al

TABLE 2. Site of First Invasive-Disease Event^a

Event
FVEIII

Event	Pertuzumab (n = $2,400$)	Placebo (n = 2,404)
Any invasive-disease event	221 (9.2%)	287 (11.9%)
Category of first invasive-disease event		
Distant recurrence	141 (5.9%)	184 (7.7%)
CNS metastases	49 (2.0%)	49 (2.0%)
Locoregional recurrence	28 (1.2%)	49 (2.0%)
Contralateral breast cancer	13 (0.5%)	15 (0.6%)
Death without previous event	39 (1.6%)	39 (1.6%)

^aPatients who had an additional invasive event within 61 days of their first event are reported in the category according to the following hierarchy: distant recurrence, locoregional recurrence, contralateral breast cancer, and death without previous event.

possibility for salvage for patients with residual disease after neoadjuvant treatment, as confirmed by the results of the KATHERINE study, can potentially limit the usefulness of intensive adjuvant treatments in the future at least in highresource settings where trastuzumab emtansine is already accessible.²⁵ However, not all regions have access to trastuzumab emtansine and not all healthcare systems can optimally monitor patients during neoadjuvant treatment. Availability of effective adjuvant therapy will still be an important component of curative treatment in these settings.



FIG 4. Kaplan-Meier plot of IDFS by subgroup, ITT population. IDFS in (A) the node-positive cohort, (B) the node-negative cohort, (C) the hormone receptor-negative cohort, and (D) the hormone receptor-positive cohort. HR, hazard ratio; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; ITT, intent-to-treat.

1454 © 2021 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

Volume 39. Issue 13

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by Universite de Liege - on May 3, 2021 from 139.165.032.056 Copyright © 2021 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

 TABLE 3.
 Summary of Adverse Events by Safety Analysis Population

_	-	
F	vent	
_	V CIIL	

Event	Pertuzumab ($n = 2,364$)	Placebo (n = 2,405)
Fatal adverse event ^a	22 (0.9%)	30 (1.2%)
Primary cardiac event ^b	18 (0.8%)	8 (0.3%)
Secondary cardiac event ^c	65 (2.7%)	68 (2.8%)
Identified automatically from LVEF assessments	50 (2.1%)	47 (2.0%)
Identified by the cardiac advisory board	15 (0.6%)	21 (0.9%)

Abbreviation: LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.

^aFor six of the patients, the fatal adverse event was a cardiac disorder—two (0.1%) in the pertuzumab group and four (0.2%) in the placebo group. For 30 of the patients, the fatal adverse event was in the superclass term neoplasms benign, malignant, and unspecified—13 (0.5%) patients in the pertuzumab group and 17 (0.7%) patients in the placebo arm. There was one pertuzumab patient with two fatal adverse events of a nervous system disorder.

^bPrimary cardiac events are counted over the whole trial period, including post-treatment follow-up; one further primary cardiac event (heart failure) in the pertuzumab arm since the primary analysis.

^cSecondary cardiac events are counted up to the date of recurrence or the end of post-treatment follow-up, whichever occurs earlier, and are counted only for patients who have not had a primary cardiac event; one further patient with secondary cardiac event in each arm since the primary analysis.

A limitation of APHINITY is the reliance on a single, pathologic biomarker of potential benefit from pertuzumab, namely nodal status. As it is possible that other patient subgroups may benefit, we plan to develop a composite prognostic score that might help the clinicians identify the most suitable patients for dual as opposed to single HER2 blockade, in the same way it has contributed to improved selection of premenopausal patients who may benefit from suppression of ovarian function plus exemestane, as opposed to tamoxifen in the SOFT and TEXT trials.^{26–28}

A remaining question is whether the 12-month duration of pertuzumab is optimal. It is unclear whether shorter durations of dual HER2 blockade will be further investigated, given the many challenges raised by the five trials that were designed to demonstrate noninferiority of 6 or 3 months of adjuvant trastuzumab compared with the standard 12month duration.^{29–31}

In conclusion, this second interim analysis of OS in the APHINITY trial did not demonstrate a statistically significant OS benefit from the addition of pertuzumab to standard chemotherapy plus trastuzumab in HER2-positive earlystage BC; the number of OS events to date remains relatively low. The benefits of comprehensive HER2 blockade using pertuzumab and trastuzumab in the adjuvant setting for patients with node-positive disease observed at the primary analysis are reinforced in the updated analysis of IDFS. Currently, there is no evidence to justify the routine use of adjuvant dual anti-HER2 treatment for patients with nodenegative early BC. The longer-term benefit of pertuzumab may not depend on the HR status of the primary tumor. No cardiac safety concerns have emerged after a median followup of 74 months. Continued follow-up of patients is important to fully assess OS benefit at the time-driven third interim OS analysis planned for 2022 and the event-driven final OS analysis planned when 640 deaths have occurred.

AFFILIATIONS

- ¹Institut Jules Bordet and L'Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium
- ²Frontier Science Scotland Ltd, Kincraig, Kingussie, United Kingdom ³Breast International Group (BIG), Brussels, Belgium
- ⁴Roche Products Limited, Welwyn Garden City, United Kingdom
- ⁵University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Huston, TX
- ⁶Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland
- ⁷CHU Liege and Liege University, Liege, Belgium
- ⁸Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University, Durham, NC
- ⁹Inaugural Chair—Consumer Advisory Panel, Breast Cancer Trials Group, Newcastle, Australia

¹⁰Consumer Advisor to Breast Researchers, Garvan Institute of Research, Sydney, Australia

¹¹Institute Bergonié, UNICANCER, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

- ¹²Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA
- ¹³National Health Research Institutes, Taipei, Taiwan

¹⁴Oncological Department, Postgraduate Medical Education Center, Warsaw, Poland

¹⁵Breast Cancer Unit, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan

- ¹⁶Director of Medical Oncology, Westmead Hospital, Sydney ¹⁷Associate Professor of Medicine, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia
- ¹⁸Department of Oncology, Rigshospitalet, University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ¹⁹Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea
- ²⁰Comprehensive Breast Health Center, Experimental Surgery,
- Department of Surgery, Taipei-Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan ²¹Gynäkologisch-Onkologische Praxis Hannover, Hannover, Germany ²²Division of Medical Senology, IEO, European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Milan, Italy
- ²³Instituto Nacional de Câncer, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

²⁴Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Frontier Science Foundation, Boston, MA ²⁵University of Milan, IEO, European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Milan, Italy

²⁶Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Martine Piccart, MD, PhD, Breast International Group (BIG)-aisbl Blvd. de Waterloo 76 1000 Brussels, Belgium; e-mail: m.piccart@ bigagainstbc.org.

PRIOR PRESENTATION

Presented at the General Session 1 of San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2019, San Antonio, Texas, December 11, 2019.

SUPPORT

Supported by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd/Genentech.

CLINICAL TRIAL INFORMATION

NCT01358877 (APHINITY)

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.20.01204.

DATA SHARING STATEMENT

Qualified researchers may request access to individual patient level data through the clinical study data request platform https://vivli.org/ 18 months after the publication of the last clinical study report (CSR). Before this date, qualified researchers may request access to individual patient level data by submitting, within a call for proposals, a research proposal to BIG. Further details on Roche's criteria for eligible studies are available here: https://vivli.org/members/ourmembers/. For further

details on Roche's Global Policy on the Sharing of Clinical Information and how to request access to related clinical study documents, see here https://www.roche.com/research_and_development/

who_we_are_how_we_work/clinical_trials/

our_commitment_to_data_sharing.htm.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Martine Piccart, Evandro de Azambuja, Eleonora Restuccia, Linda Reaby, Ian Krop, Tadeusz Pieńkowski, Masakazu Toi, Richard D. Gelber

Administrative support: Martine Piccart, Evandro de Azambuja, Mihaela Sicoe, Sébastien Guillaume

Collection and assembly of data: Martine Piccart, Michael S. Ewer, Tsang-Wu Liu, Masakazu Toi, Michael Andersson, Hervé Bonnefoi, Eleonora Restuccia, Ling Ming Tseng, Marco Colleoni, Hans-Joachim Lueck, Mihaela Sicoe, Sébastien Guillaume, Richard D. Gelber, Giuseppe Viale Data analysis and interpretation: Martine Piccart, Marion Procter, Debora Fumagalli, Evandro de Azambuja, Emma Clark, Michael S. Ewer, Eleonora Restuccia, Susan Dent, Estefania Monturus, Guy Jerusalem, Hervé Bonnefoi, Ian Krop, Tadeusz Pieńkowski, Masakazu Toi, Nicholas Wilcken, Michael Andersson, Young-Hyuck Im, Sébastien Guillaume, José Bines, Richard D. Gelber, Christoph Thomssen Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the patients and their caregivers who participated in APHINITY; the doctors, nurses, and research teams from the sites and cooperative groups; Steering Committee Members; Joint Study Management Team that includes BIG Headquarters, Institut Jules Bordet Clinical Trials Support Unit, Frontier Science Foundation, F. Hoffmann-La Roche/ Genentech Ltd; Translational Advisory Committee, Scientific Review Team, Cardiac Advisory Board; the Central Laboratory Istituto Europeo di Oncologia; Independent Data Monitoring Committee; and Carmela Caballero for coordinating manuscript development. A list of the members of the APHINITY committees is provided in the Data Supplement.

REFERENCES

- 1. Caparica R, Brandão M, Piccart M: Systemic treatment of patients with early breast cancer: Recent updates and state of the art. Breast 48:S7-20, 2019
- 2. Godoy-Ortiz A, Sanchez-Muñoz A, Parrado MRC, et al: Deciphering HER2 breast cancer disease: Biological and clinical implications. Front Oncol 9:1124, 2019
- Goutsouliak K, Veeraraghavan J, Sethunath V, et al: Towards personalized treatment for early stage HER2-positive breast cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 17: 233-250, 2020
- Slamon D, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al: Use of Chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med 344:783-792, 2001
- Perez E, Romond E, Suman V, et al: Trastuzumab plus adjuvant chemotherapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer: Planned joint analysis of overall survival from NSABP B-31 and NCCTG N9831. J Clin Oncol 32:3744-3752, 2014
- Slamon D, Eiermann W, Robert N, et al: Ten year follow-up of BCIRG-006 comparing doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel (AC→T) with doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide followed by docetaxel and trastuzumab (AC→TH) with docetaxel, carboplatin and trastuzumab (TCH) in HER2+ early. Cancer Res 76, 2016 (suppl 5)
- Cameron D, Piccart-Gebhart M, Gelber R, et al: 11 years' follow-up of trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive early breast cancer: Final analysis of the HERceptin adjuvant (HERA) trial. Lancet 389:1195-1205, 2017
- 8. Baselga J, Cortés J, Kim S, et al: Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med 366:109-119, 2012
- 9. Swain SM, Kim SB, Cortés J, et al: Pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel for HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (CLEOPATRA study): Overall survival results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 14:461-471, 2013
- Baselga J, Bradbury I, Eidtmann H, et al: Lapatinib with trastuzumab for HER2-positive early breast cancer (NeoALTTO): A randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. Lancet 379:633-640, 2012
- Gianni L, Pienkowski T, Im Y, et al: Efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in women with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): A randomised multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 13:25-32, 2012
- 12. Gianni L, Pienkowski T, Im YH, et al: 5-year analysis of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early-stage HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): A multicentre, open-label, phase 2 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol 17:791-800, 2016
- Schneeweiss A, Chia S, Hickish T, et al: Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab in combination with standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing and anthracycline-free chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: A randomized phase II cardiac safety study (tryphaena). Ann Oncol 24: 2278-2284, 2013
- 14. Blackwell KL, Burstein HJ, Storniolo AM, et al: Overall survival benefit with lapatinib in combination with trastuzumab for patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive metastatic breast cancer: Final results from the EGF104900 study. J Clin Oncol 30:2585-2592, 2012

- 15. Von Minckwitz G, Procter M, De Azambuja E, et al: Adjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in early HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 377:122-131, 2017
- Wolff AC, Hammond MEH, Hicks DG, et al: Recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists clinical practice guideline update. Arch Pathol Lab Med 138:241-256, 2014
- 17. Hudis CA, Barlow WE, Costantino JP, et al: Proposal for standardized definitions for efficacy end points in adjuvant breast cancer trials: The STEEP system. J Clin Oncol 25:2127-2132, 2007
- 18. Wilson MK, Collyar D, Chingos DT, et al: Outcomes and endpoints in cancer trials: Bridging the divide. Lancet Oncol 16:e43-e52, 2015
- Cherny NI, Sullivan R, Dafni U, et al: A standardized, generic, validated approach to stratify the magnitude of clinical benefit that can be anticipated from anticancer therapies: The European Society for Medical Oncology Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale (ESMO-MCBS). Ann Oncol 26:1547-1573, 2015
- 20. Tolaney S, Barry W, Dang C, et al: Adjuvant paclitaxel and trastuzumab for node-negative, HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 372:134-141, 2015
- 21. Tolaney SM, Guo H, Pernas S, et al: Seven-year follow-up analysis of adjuvant paclitaxel and trastuzumab trial for node-negative, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 37:1868-1875, 2019
- 22. Saphner T, Tormey DC, Gray R: Annual hazard rates of recurrence for breast cancer after primary therapy. J Clin Oncol 14:2738-2746, 1996
- Colleoni M, Sun Z, Price KN, et al: Annual hazard rates of recurrence for breast cancer during 24 years of follow-up: Results from the international breast cancer study group trials I to V. J Clin Oncol 34:927-935, 2016
- 24. Baselga J, Petersen JA, Clark E, et al: Patient (pt)-reported function and symptoms in APHINITY: A randomized comparison of chemotherapy (C) + trastuzumab (H) + placebo (Pla) versus C + H + pertuzumab (P) as adjuvant therapy in pts with HER2-positive early breast cancer (EBC). J Clin Oncol 36, 2018 (suppl; abstr 521)
- 25. von Minckwitz G, Huang CS, Mano MS, et al: Trastuzumab emtansine for residual invasive HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 380:617-628, 2019
- 26. Francis PA, Pagani O, Fleming GF, et al: Tailoring adjuvant endocrine therapy for premenopausal breast cancer. N Engl J Med 379:122-137, 2018
- 27. Pagani O, Francis PA, Fleming GF, et al: Absolute improvements in freedom from distant recurrence to tailor adjuvant endocrine therapies for premenopausal women: Results from TEXT and SOFT. J Clin Oncol 38:1293-1303, 2019
- 28. Composite Risk Application. 2020. https://rconnect.dfci.harvard.edu/CompositeRiskSTEPP/
- 29. Earl HM, Hiller L, Vallier AL, et al: 6 versus 12 months of adjuvant trastuzumab for HER2-positive early breast cancer (PERSEPHONE): 4-year disease-free survival results of a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. Lancet 393:2599-2612, 2019
- Niraula S, Gyawali B: Optimal duration of adjuvant trastuzumab in treatment of early breast cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Breast Cancer Res Treat 173:103-109, 2019
- Pivot X, Romieu G, Debled M, et al: 6 months versus 12 months of adjuvant trastuzumab in early breast cancer (PHARE): Final analysis of a multicentre, openlabel, phase 3 randomised trial. Lancet 393:2591-2598, 2019

ASCO[•] Journals

Gain Recognition as an ASCO Journal Reviewer

Participate as a journal reviewer and play an integral role in maintaining the quality and integrity of ASCO journals. Benefits and recognition for reviewing ASCO journals content include:

- Staying up to date on the latest oncology research
- Increased exposure to key leaders in oncology
- Career advancement opportunities
- ASCO members who become reviewers can earn FASCO points

Get started today at ascopubs.org/reviewers



AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Adjuvant Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab in Early HER2-Positive Breast Cancer in the APHINITY Trial: 6 Years' Follow-Up

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

Martine Piccart

Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Debiopharm Group, Odonate Therapeutics, Menarini, Seattle Genetics, Camel-IDS, Immunomedics, Roche/Genentech, Immutep Research Funding: AstraZeneca, Lilly, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Radius Health, Synthon, Servier Other Relationship: Oncolytics, EU Cancer Mission Board

Marion Procter

Research Funding: Roche/Genentech Other Relationship: Roche/Genentech

Debora Fumagalli

Research Funding: Roche/Genentech, AstraZeneca, Novartis, GlaxoSmithKline, Servier, Pfizer, Tesaro

Evandro de Azambuja

Honoraria: Roche/Genentech, Seattle Genetics, Zodiac Pharma Consulting or Advisory Role: Roche/Genentech, Novartis Research Funding: Roche/Genentech, AstraZeneca, Servier/Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline/Novartis

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche/Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline Emma Clark

Employment: Roche

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Issued patent: Uses for and article of manufacture including HER2 dimerization inhibitor pertuzumab, 13/ 649591

Michael S. Ewer

Consulting or Advisory Role: Bayer, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Author of the book Cancer and the Heart

Eleonora Restuccia

Employment: Hoffmann LaRoche Ltd

Leadership: VectivBio AG

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Hoffmann LaRoche Ltd, VectivBio AG Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Hoffmann LaRoche Ltd, VectivBio

Guy Jerusalem

Honoraria: Novartis, Roche, Lilly, Pfizer, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, Abbvie

Consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis, Roche, Amgen, Pfizer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Lilly, AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo, Abbvie Research Funding: Novartis, Roche, Pfizer

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Lilly, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AstraZeneca, Medimmune, Merck

Susan Dent

Honoraria: Novartis Canada Pharmaceuticals Inc Research Funding: Novartis Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Novartis

Linda Reaby Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche

Hervé Bonnefoi Honoraria: Roche Research Funding: Bayer Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, Pfizer

lan Krop

Employment: AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Freeline Therapeutics Leadership: AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Freeline Therapeutics Stock and Other Ownership Interests: AMAG Pharmaceuticals, Freeline Therapeutics, Vertex Honoraria: Genentech/Roche, AstraZeneca, Celltrion Consulting or Advisory Role: Genentech/Roche, Seattle Genetics, Daiichi Sankyo, Macrogenics, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Context Therapeutics, Novartis, Merck, ION Pharma, Bristol-Myers Squibb Research Funding: Genentech, Pfizer

Tadeusz Pieńkowski

Honoraria: Novartis, Roche Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca Speakers' Bureau: Roche, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Pfizer Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche

Masakazu Toi

Honoraria: Novartis, Takeda, AstraZeneca, Eisai, Genomic Health, Chugai Pharma, Taiho Pharmaceutical, Daiichi Sankyo, Yakult Pharmaceutical, Shimadzu, Pfizer, Konica Minolta, Lilly, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Devicore medical Japan

Consulting or Advisory Role: Daiichi Sankyo, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Konica Minolta, Bertis, Athenex, Bristol-Myers Squibb

Speakers' Bureau: Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Lilly

Research Funding: Taiho Pharmaceutical, Chugai Pharma, Shimadzu, Astellas Pharma, AFI technology, Japan Breast Cancer Research Group, Pfizer, Eisai, Daiichi Sankyo, AstraZeneca, Ono Pharmaceutical, Nippon Kayaku, Kyoto Breast cancer Research Network

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: JP 2017-143763, PCT/JP2016/ 004374

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Eisai, Takeda

Other Relationship: Japan Breast Cancer Research Group, Kyoto Breast Cancer Research Network, Organization for Oncology and Translational Research

Nicholas Wilcken

Honoraria: Roche/Genentech Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Amgen

Hans-Joachim Lueck

Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Lilly, Seagen, MSD Oncology

Speakers' Bureau: Roche, Pfizer, Amgen, Novartis

Estefania Monturus

Employment: Roche

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Roche

Other Relationship: F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd (support for third-party writing assistance)

Mihaela Sicoe

Research Funding: Roche, Pfizer/EMD Serono, Novartis

Sébastien Guillaume

Research Funding: Roche, AstraZeneca, Novartis Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche

José Bines

Honoraria: Roche, Lilly, Novartis, MSD, Pfizer Consulting or Advisory Role: Roche, Lilly, MSD Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche

Richard D. Gelber

Research Funding: AstraZeneca, Novartis, Roche, Celgene, Merck, Pfizer, Ipsen, Ferring

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, AstraZeneca, Novartis

Giuseppe Viale

Honoraria: MSD Oncology, Pfizer, Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH Consulting or Advisory Role: Dako, Roche/Genentech, Novartis, Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, MSD Oncology, Menarini Speakers' Bureau: Roche/Genentech

Research Funding: Roche/Genentech, Ventana Medical Systems, Dako/Agilent Technologies, Cepheid

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche

Christoph Thomssen

Roche

Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Eisai
 Germany, Lilly, Pfizer, Roche, Pierre Fabre, MSD, Vifor Pharma
 Consulting or Advisory Role: Amgen, AstraZeneca, Celgene, Daiichi Sankyo
 Europe GmbH, MSD, Pfizer, Roche
 Research Funding: AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Novartis,
 Pfizer, Roche
 Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Pfizer,

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.

Journal of Clinical Oncology